Force Data Collection Report

CASE # 2020-681386 | OFFICER TERRETT | DPSST 58225 | ☐ AMENDED

DATE 08/25/2020 | TIME: 10:20 PM | ASSIGNMENT: RRT

LOCATION: SW 4th Ave & SW Jefferson

NAME (LAST, FIRST M)	GENDER	RACE	DATE OF BIRTH
RAVEN-GUIDO, Aima	FEMALE	HISPANIC	04/13/2002

TYPE OF CALL - REASON FOR CONTACT

☐ SUBJECT STOP ☒ PERSON CRIME ☒ PROPERTY CRIME ☐ ADMINISTRATIVE ☐ INJURED ANIMAL

☐ TRAFFIC STOP ☒ OTHER ☐ MERE CONVERSATION ☐ WELFARE CHECK DOMESTIC RELATED? NO

EXPLAIN

On this date and time I was working as a member of the Rapid Response Team, on Charlie squad, and we were tasked with responding to a civil disturbance for multiple days in a row. Criminal agitators were blocking traffic, committing acts of vandalism, and throwing projectiles at officers throughout the incident. This event was one of many that I have previously responded to over the past month, and many of these events devolved into riots where a police response was necessary to quell the violence and property damage.

We were assigned to help assist with a crowd that had gathered outside of City Hall. Prior to our arrival on-scene the crowd had broken into City Hall, damaged windows and property inside the building, and gratified the structure inside and out. Due to the crowds' assaultive and aggressive behavior it was deemed a riot and they were directed to disperse. They were throwing items at police, pointing lasers into officers eyes, and were making threats.

LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR CONTACT

☐ REASONABLE SUSPICION ☐ WARRANT/JUDICIAL DECREE ☒ OTHER

☒ PROBABLE CAUSE ☐ CIVIL HOLD ☒ CIVIL UNREST

EXPLAIN

Despite being given multiple warnings that the crowd needed to leave the area, the crowd remained and continued their criminal behavior. Dispersal orders and fore admonishments had been given over a loudspeaker, with clear directions on what part of the city the crowd needed to leave from and which direction to do so.

After criminal activity had been allowed to occur, the event was eventually declared an unlawful assembly and a riot.

There was probable cause for the crowd for IPO for failing to disperse as well as individuals for attempted assault on a police officer, criminal mischief, and resisting arrest.

CONDITION PRIOR TO FORCE

☒ ARMED (ACTUALLY) [redacted]MENTAL HEALTH INFLUENCE (PERCEIVED) ☐ PASSIVE RESISTANCE*

☐ REPORTED TO BE ARMED ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF DRUGS ☒ ENGAGED IN PHYSICAL RESISTANCE

☐ ARMED (PERCEIVED) ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL ☐ OTHER ☐ NONE

EXPLAIN

This violent crowd was actively committing acts of assaults against police officers, via dangerous projectiles. The violent crowd had already been given orders to disperse, with clear directions to go and a reasonable amount of time to satisfy our instructions. The majority of the crowd did not follow the instructions to leave the area and instead became more hostile.

Many members of the crowd had shields, helmets, and body armor. It was reported that they were using the shields as weapons. Some members were pointing laser pointers and flashlights into officers' eyes. As we dispersed the crowd, many members engaged in physical resistance by pushing back into us with shields or intentionally slowing to disrupt the dispersal.

PERCEIVED CONDITION FOR LACK OF COMPLIANCE PRIOR TO FORCE

Force Data Collection Report

CASE # 2020-681386 | OFFICER TERRETT | DPSST 58225 | ☐ AMENDED

[redacted] MEDICAL CONDITIONS
[redacted] MENTAL IMPAIRMENT
[redacted] DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY
[redacted] MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS
☒ DISOBEDIENT / ANTAGONISTIC
☐ LANGUAGE BARRIER
☐ OTHER
[redacted] PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS
☐ IMPAIRED FROM DRUGS OR ALCOHOL OR BOTH

EXPLAIN

This crowd was made up of people that were largely hostile towards the police and appeared to come down to this area to engage in a violent confrontation with law enforcement, as they had done so on multiple previous nights. Since this group, and other groups, have been given significant leeway regarding minor offenses while protesting, my only belief is that this group had no intention of conveying a message, but rather was intent on violence and destruction.

This group, and individuals within, clearly had no intention of conveying a message or protesting peacefully, but were rather intent on engaging in a violent insurrection.

I perceived RAVEN-GUIDO's lack of compliance was because she was disobedient and antagonistic. She refused to leave the area despite being advised multiple times he must do so. She actively resisted officers' attempts to take her into custody and was using her shield as a weapon to do so.

MENTAL HEALTH

[redacted] NONE
[redacted] PRIOR TO FORCE KNOWLEDGE
[redacted] POST FORCE KNOWLEDGE
[redacted] OTHER

EXPLAIN

[redacted]

SPECIALTY UNITS

YES, WHICH ONES? | SPECIALTY UNITS INVOLVED?

WHICH SPECIALTY UNITS?

Multiple Rapid Response Teams, Mobile Field Force, Soundtruck.

DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES

YES | DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE ATTEMPTED OR USED?

EXPLAIN WHY NO DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE USED/ATTEMPTED OR DESCRIBE TECHNIQUES USED/ATTEMPTED

The soundtruck gave orders to disperse. The orders were given from a safe distance. The order was repeated and ample time was given for the crowd to comply. We also had multiple officers on scene. The soundtruck gave numerous force warnings prior to any of the force used below.

USE OF FORCE WAS NECESSARY TO

☒ PREVENT OR TERMINATE THE COMMISSION OF AN OFFENSE.
☒ LAWFULLY TAKE A PERSON INTO CUSTODY, MAKE AN ARREST, OR PREVENT AN ESCAPE.
☐ PREVENT A SUICIDE OR SERIOUS SELF-INFLICTED INJURY.
☒ DEFEND THE MEMBER OR OTHER PERSON FROM THE USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE.
☒ ACCOMPLISH SOME OFFICIAL PURPOSE OR DUTY THAT IS AUTHORIZED BY LAW OR JUDICIAL DECREE.

CHRONOLOGICAL USE OF FORCE

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	TAKE DOWN	TORSO	NO, EXPLAIN	YES

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

Force Data Collection Report

CASE # 2020-681386 | OFFICER TERRETT | DPSST 58225 | ☐ AMENDED

As I approached RAVEN-GUIDO and attempted to place her into custody who was holding a shield at the time, she attempted to leverage her shield and swiped it across my body to actively resist arrest.

I was able to maintain clear the shield RAVEN-GUIDO was holding and transition to wrap my arms around her torso. I lowered my level, and forced my body weight via my shoulders into her body and pushed RAVEN-GUIDO to the ground. At this time RAVEN-GUIDO released the grip of her shield. With the combination of these moves RAVEN-GUIDO was taken to the ground and landed on her side at which point she curled up into a ball. After instruction RAVEN-GUIDO then put her hands behind her back as directed and stopped all resistance.

Utilizing this technique, as taught at the training division in Control Tactics, I was able to maintain control of RAVEN-GUIDO and safely place her into custody without further issue to himself or other Officers.

YES — WHEN THE RESISTANCE DECREASED, I REDUCED MY AMOUNT OF FORCE TO A LEVEL REASONABLY CALCULATED TO MAINTAIN CONTROL.

DESCRIBE WHY OTHER FORCE OPTIONS WERE REASONABLY CONSIDERED BUT NOT USED:

Many other force options such as OC would not have been reasonable due to the close quarters with other officers as well as legal observers.

Based on my training and experience I believe the force utilized was the least amount of force I could have used to safely accomplish the required task.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE FORCE YOU OBSERVED OTHER OFFICERS USE:

I observed several other unknown officers utilizing baton pushes.

SUBJECT INJURY AND/OR TREATMENT

	TYPE OF INJURY	OBSERVATION	LOCATION OF INJURY	INJURY ATTRIBUTED TO	INJURY TREATMENT
+ -	[redacted]				

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF COMPLAINT, APPARENT, PRE-EXISTING INJURY OR ABSENCE OF INJURY:

[redacted]

[redacted] THE SUBJECT WAS MEDICALLY CLEARED FROM THE FORCE EVENT

THE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED BECAUSE OF MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES

THE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED FOR DRUGS/ALCOHOL

WITNESS

☐ I ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED.

☐ _____ ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED

☒ WITNESSES WERE LOCATED BUT THEY REFUSED TO GIVE THEIR CONTACT INFORMATION AND A DESCRIPTION OF THEM IS IN THE SECTION BELOW.

WITNESS INFORMATION: NAME OR DESCRIPTION (IF NAME REFUSED) AND MEMBER WHO LOCATED:

Due to the hostile and violent nature of this crowd, it would have been unsafe or unreasonable to attempt to locate or interview witnesses. Additionally, no witnesses came forward to give a statement regarding my use of force.

MEMBER INJURY

[redacted] **I WAS INJURED DURING THIS INCIDENT**

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	-	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020	68138 6	TERRETT	58225	☐ AMENDED

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE	DPSST		RANK	NAME
TERRETT	58225	EMAILED TO	SGT	TYLER

**********SUPERVISORS ONLY**********

APPROVED BY:	Sgt. Trevor Tyler	DPSST:	50003

PSD: ONLY PERCEIVED MENTALLY ILL	PSD: ONLY SERIOUS USE OF FORCE	PSD: BOTH A SERIOUS USE OF FORCE ON A SUBJECT WITH PERCEIVED MENTAL ILLNESS		**SERGEANT:** SUBMIT FINAL COPY TO RECORDS