Force Data Collection Report

CASE # 2020-681145 | OFFICER: Domka, Zachary | DPSST: 48347 | ☐ AMENDED

DATE: 08/09/2020 | TIME: 10:06 PM | ASSIGNMENT: RRT

LOCATION: N Denver St/N Winchell St

NAME (LAST, FIRST M)	GENDER	RACE	DATE OF BIRTH
Unknown	UNK	UNK	01/01/1994

TYPE OF CALL - REASON FOR CONTACT

☐ SUBJECT STOP ☐ PERSON CRIME ☐ PROPERTY CRIME ☐ ADMINISTRATIVE ☐ INJURED ANIMAL

☐ TRAFFIC STOP ☒ OTHER ☐ MERE CONVERSATION ☐ WELFARE CHECK

EXPLAIN

Open-source media outlets revealed a planned rally at Kenton Park on the afternoon of 08/09/2020. The park was not far from the Portland Police Association's offices; at N Campbell Ave/N Lombard St. Multiple similar gatherings, including the previous night, had devolved into criminal riots. This gathering did lead to an unpermitted march and they moved directly to the PPA office building. Estimates of the crowd size, at it's peak, put their number near 150 individuals. The crowd barricaded the lanes of N Lombard St to vehicular traffic and lit a fire near the PPA offices after they arrived. Members of RRT, OSP MRT, and MMFs were directed to disperse the unlawful assembly; per the CMIC's direction.

LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR CONTACT

☐ REASONABLE SUSPICION ☐ WARRANT/JUDICIAL DECREE ☐ OTHER

☒ PROBABLE CAUSE ☐ CIVIL HOLD ☒ CIVIL UNREST

EXPLAIN

The unlawful assembly was downgraded to a 'riot', as declared by the CMIC, when members of the crowd threw dangerous projectiles at law enforcement officers; including explosive pyrotechnics. Individuals were seen attempting to assault officers while police formations moved the crowd along surface streets.

CONDITION PRIOR TO FORCE

☒ ARMED (ACTUALLY) [redacted] MENTAL HEALTH INFLUENCE (PERCEIVED) ☐ PASSIVE RESISTANCE*

☒ REPORTED TO BE ARMED ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF DRUGS ☒ ENGAGED IN PHYSICAL RESISTANCE

☒ ARMED (PERCEIVED) ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL ☐ OTHER ☐ NONE

EXPLAIN

The actions of the crowd, on this date, were violent and hostile toward police. The individuals engaged in the criminal behavior consistently avoided police attempts to either arrest or disperse them.

Some individuals were armed with blunt objects; such as shields and closed golf umbrellas. Based on my training and experience I know these items can be used to inflict injury to others when used violently.

PERCEIVED CONDITION FOR LACK OF COMPLIANCE PRIOR TO FORCE

[redacted] MEDICAL CONDITIONS [redacted] DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY ☒ DISOBEDIENT / ANTAGONISTIC ☐ OTHER

[redacted] MENTAL IMPAIRMENT [redacted] MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS ☐ LANGUAGE BARRIER [redacted] HYSICAL LIMITATIONS

☐ IMPAIRED FROM DRUGS OR ALCOHOL OR BOTH

EXPLAIN

The impetus of this violent gathering was based in anti-police sentiment. Therefore, the vast majority of individuals within the crowd were hostile to law enforcement. The crowd's reactions to loudspeaker dispersal announcements were decidedly uncooperative and included profane gestures in response to the lawful orders. Rioters erected barricades in the streets to impede the efforts of peace officers to quell the tumult.

MENTAL HEALTH

[redacted] NONE [redacted] PRIOR TO FORCE KNOWLEDGE [redacted] POST FORCE KNOWLEDGE [redacted] OTHER

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-681145	Domka, Zachary	48347	☐ AMENDED

EXPLAIN

[redacted]

SPECIALTY UNITS

YES, WHICH ONES? — SPECIALTY UNITS INVOLVED?

WHICH SPECIALTY UNITS?

RRT/MRT, SERT, Air Support, Detectives, CNT, and likely others

DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES

YES — DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE ATTEMPTED OR USED?

EXPLAIN WHY NO DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE USED/ATTEMPTED OR DESCRIBE TECHNIQUES USED/ATTEMPTED

Custodies were delayed and police units attempted to keep their distance from protesters. However, the crowd was insistent on pressing up against police formations.

Unless immediate police action was necessary to protect life and safety, officers did their best to give the crowd a reasonable amount of time to comply with loudspeaker admonishments and lawful orders.

Additional officers were called upon and responded as soon as the crowd became actively aggressive.

RRT squads used obstacles and geographic features of the various locations we visited, as barriers, to distance ourselves from the violent suspects; when not attempting to take custodies.

USE OF FORCE WAS NECESSARY TO

- ☒ PREVENT OR TERMINATE THE COMMISSION OF AN OFFENSE.
- ☐ LAWFULLY TAKE A PERSON INTO CUSTODY, MAKE AN ARREST, OR PREVENT AN ESCAPE.
- ☐ PREVENT A SUICIDE OR SERIOUS SELF-INFLICTED INJURY.
- ☒ DEFEND THE MEMBER OR OTHER PERSON FROM THE USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE.
- ☒ ACCOMPLISH SOME OFFICIAL PURPOSE OR DUTY THAT IS AUTHORIZED BY LAW OR JUDICIAL DECREE.

CHRONOLOGICAL USE OF FORCE

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ / -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	STOMACH	YES	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
FN303	LAUNCHABLE	~50 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	AMENDED
2020-681145	Domka, Zachary	48347	☐

*** Subject #1, application #1 ***

The PPB Sound Truck was following behind our police line broadcasting dispersal orders and a force warning, per the CMIC's direction. The force warning included the direction to which the rioters were to leave and the potential consequences of arrest and/or police use of force if they refused.

Our police formation was in the area of N Denver Ave/N Terry St, as we pushed the violent crowd northbound; at ~2206 hours. It was at that time I observed an individual throwing projectiles at law enforcement officers.

I could not make out the exact features of the suspect but could tell he was a white male. He was ~25-years-old, 5'7" tall, average-build, and wearing all black clothing. His face/head were covered with a hard plastic helmet, goggles, and a respirator. The suspect was carrying a brown paper bag full of chicken eggs, which he was applying liberally via an overhand technique. I would not be able to identify him if I saw him again.

I attempted to apply one FN303 marking round to the suspect. The crowd was all around the suspect and moving in the same direction, at the same speed. I had a clear lane,~20" wide, through which I could see the entire body of the suspect. The lane remained open, and I judged it was appropriate to attempt a shot. I understood the presence of other uninvolved parties near the suspect could potentially result in someone crossing in front of any force I chose to project toward the suspect. Therefore, I decided to attempt only one FN303 application and to not expect the window to remain open for long. I targeted the suspect's waistline, per my training, and fired. I saw the round leave downrange and, coincidentally, and unknown white adult female extended her bent left arm in front of the round. The pellet struck the back of her arm, above the elbow joint, and I heard her react vocally.

The suspect did not notice my attempt and continued to throw eggs at peace officers.

I was unable to either identify or render aid to the female who was accidentally struck. She appeared to turn eastbound and cut through the crowd away from me. I never saw what she looked like and could not get close enough to contact her for the post-use-of-force purposes outlined by PPB Directive 1010.00.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	RIGHT LEG	YES	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
FN303	LAUNCHABLE	~50 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

*** Subject #1, application #2 ***

(The PPB Sound Truck force warnings still applied and were being rebroadcast at that time.)

The aforementioned lane (through which I could observe the suspect) remained unchanged, and the suspect continued to through projectiles unabated. I believed the situation still called for me to disrupt the suspect's behavior and my most effective and discriminate force tool available at the time was the FN303.

I moved ahead of the police line a few steps to ensure I had the most direct, center angle on the suspect and applied one round from my FN303 on the suspect. I targeted the suspect's leg to alter the trajectory from my first attempt, as to reduce the chance of any other individuals' limbs randomly interfering with the projectile, and prepared to fire. The suspect appeared to notice he was exposed to me as he began to turn to flee to the east. I deployed one round and watched it impact the suspect's right knee. He hopped once but did not stop as he repositioned himself on the opposite side of the crowd from me. I caught glimpses of him continuing to throw eggs at our formation for a minute or two after that.

I was not about to contact the suspect to either arrest him or render aid at any time after that engagement.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	AMENDED
2020-681145	Domka, Zachary	48347	☐

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	BOTH LEGS	YES	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
FN303	LAUNCHABLE	~18 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

*** Subject #2, application #1 ***

(The PPB Sound Truck force warnings still applied and were being rebroadcast at that time.)

Our police was still moving the rioters northbound on N Denver Ave, in the area of N Watt St, at ~2212 hours. A quick movement of a bright color, to the east (my right) caught my eye. I turned my attention to it in time to see a rioter completing a close-range throw of an unknown projectile to Ofc. Taylor's upper body. I later learned whatever the suspect had thrown was hard and impacted the stock of his FN303 and glanced off his right shoulder.

The suspect was a masked, young adult, white male. He was ~25-years-old, 6'1" tall, 155 lbs., dark hair, was wearing a hard plastic helmet, goggles, a half-face respirator, a lightweight-blue-windbreaker, and denim pants. I was not able to make out his facial features and would not be able to identify him if I saw him again.

I believed the suspect was a serious threat to the safety of myself and other officers. I reacted by raising my FN303 and beginning to track the suspect, who had turned his gaze to me. He was moving westbound; across N Denver Ave and in front of our formation. I did not have time to check if he had anything in his hands as I had serious concern he might target me with a projectile in the next few seconds.

I applied one FN303 round in an attempt to stop the suspect's assaultive, violent behavior. I targeted the male's legs as it was the safest trajectory for the FN pellet to travel, as to minimize the risk of serious injury to any third-parties; if I missed. I tracked the suspect, who was still looking at me as if to target me, and deployed one round toward his thighs.

I could not tell if the subject was impacted by the round. He did not react, either vocally or through his body language. He maintained his foot speed and direction of travel, which would take him across the width of our police line.

I still viewed him as an actively aggressive threat in that moment.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	BOTH LEGS	YES	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
FN303	LAUNCHABLE	~20 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-681145	Domka, Zachary	48347	☐ AMENDED

*** Subject #2, application #2 ***

(The PPB Sound Truck force warnings still applied and were being rebroadcast at that time.)

I believed the suspect was a serious threat to the safety of myself and other officers. I continued to track the suspect, who was still watching me rather than visually seeking out a path of escape. He was moving westbound; across N Denver Ave and in front of our formation.

I applied one FN303 round in an attempt to stop the suspect's threatening, potentially-violent behavior. I continued to target the male's legs, for the stated considerations for the well-being of nearby individuals. I tracked the suspect, who was still looking at me, and deployed one round toward his thighs.

I could not tell if the subject was impacted by the round. He did not react, either vocally or through his body language. He maintained his foot speed and began to angle his path to the north but no so much as to avoid crossing the width of our police line.

I still viewed him as an actively aggressive threat in that moment.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	BOTH LEGS	YES	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
FN303	LAUNCHABLE	~22 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

*** Subject #2, application #3 ***

(The PPB Sound Truck force warnings still applied and were being rebroadcast at that time.)

I believed the suspect was a serious threat to the safety of myself and other officers. I continued to track the suspect, who was still watching me rather than visually seeking out a path of escape. He was moving westbound; across N Denver Ave and in front of our formation.

I applied one FN303 round in an attempt to stop the suspect's threatening, potentially-violent behavior. I continued to target the male's legs, for the stated considerations for the well-being of nearby individuals. I tracked the suspect, who was still looking at me, and deployed one round toward his thighs.

I could not tell if the subject was impacted by the round. Unknown individuals began to shine flashlights in the direction of the suspect, which illuminated my FN projectile in-flight. I could see the round moving very near his churning legs. He did not react, either vocally or through his body language. He maintained his foot speed and continued to the north. I was still aware of him watching me, which I took as an indication of hostility, as opposed to him turning his focus on an escape route.

I still viewed him as an actively aggressive threat in that moment.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	BOTH LEGS	YES	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
FN303	LAUNCHABLE	~24 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

Force Data Collection Report

CASE # 2020-681145 | OFFICER Domka, Zachary | DPSST 48347 | ☐ AMENDED

*** Subject #2, application #4 ***

(The PPB Sound Truck force warnings still applied and were being rebroadcast at that time.)

I believed the suspect was a serious threat to the safety of myself and other officers. I continued to track the suspect, who was still watching me rather than visually seeking out a path of escape. He was moving westbound; across N Denver Ave and in front of our formation.

I applied one FN303 round in an attempt to stop the suspect's threatening, potentially-violent behavior. I continued to target the male's legs, for the stated considerations for the well-being of nearby individuals. I tracked the suspect, who was still looking at me, and deployed one round toward his thighs.

I could not tell if the subject was impacted by the round. I could see the round moving very near his churning legs. He did not react, either vocally or through his body language. He maintained his foot speed and continued turning. I was still aware of him watching me, which I took as an indication of hostility, as opposed to him turning his focus on an escape route.

I still viewed him as an actively aggressive threat in that moment.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	BOTH LEGS	YES	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
FN303	LAUNCHABLE	~26 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

*** Subject #2, application #5 ***

(The PPB Sound Truck force warnings still applied and were being rebroadcast at that time.)

I believed the suspect was a serious threat to the safety of myself and other officers. I continued to track the suspect, who was still watching me rather than visually seeking out a path of escape. He was moving westbound; across N Denver Ave and in front of our formation.

I applied one FN303 round in an attempt to stop the suspect's threatening, potentially-violent behavior. I continued to target the male's legs, for the stated considerations for the well-being of nearby individuals. I tracked the suspect, who was still looking at me, and deployed one round toward his thighs.

I could not tell if the subject was impacted by the round. I could see the round moving very near his churning legs. He did not react, either vocally or through his body language. He maintained his foot speed and continued turning. I was still aware of him watching me, which I took as an indication of hostility, as opposed to him turning his focus on an escape route.

I still viewed him as an actively aggressive threat in that moment.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	BOTH LEGS	YES	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
FN303	LAUNCHABLE	~28 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	AMENDED
2020-681145	Domka, Zachary	48347	☐

*** Subject #2, application #6 ***

(The PPB Sound Truck force warnings still applied and were being rebroadcast at that time.)

I believed the suspect was a serious threat to the safety of myself and other officers. I continued to track the suspect, who was still watching me rather than visually seeking out a path of escape. He was moving westbound; across N Denver Ave and in front of our formation.

I applied one FN303 round in an attempt to stop the suspect's threatening, potentially-violent behavior. I continued to target the male's legs, for the stated considerations for the well-being of nearby individuals. I tracked the suspect, who was still looking at me, and deployed one round toward his thighs.

I could not tell if the subject was impacted by the round. I could see the round moving very near his churning legs. He did not react, either vocally or through his body language. He maintained his foot speed and continued turning. I was still aware of him watching me, which I took as an indication of hostility, as opposed to him turning his focus on an escape route.

I still viewed him as an actively aggressive threat in that moment.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	BOTH LEGS	YES	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
FN303	LAUNCHABLE	~30 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

*** Subject #2, application #7 ***

(The PPB Sound Truck force warnings still applied and were being rebroadcast at that time.)

I believed the suspect was a serious threat to the safety of myself and other officers. I continued to track the suspect, who was still watching me rather than visually seeking out a path of escape. He was moving westbound; across N Denver Ave and in front of our formation.

I applied one FN303 round in an attempt to stop the suspect's threatening, potentially-violent behavior. I continued to target the male's legs, for the stated considerations for the well-being of nearby individuals. I tracked the suspect, who was still looking at me, and deployed one round toward his thighs.

I could not tell if the subject was impacted by the round. I could see the round moving very near his churning legs. He did not react, either vocally or through his body language. He maintained his foot speed and continued turning. I was still aware of him watching me, which I took as an indication of hostility, as opposed to him turning his focus on an escape route.

I still viewed him as an actively aggressive threat in that moment.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	BOTH LEGS	NO, EXPLAIN	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
FN303	LAUNCHABLE	~32 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-681145	Domka, Zachary	48347	☐ AMENDED

*** Subject #2, application #8 ***

(The PPB Sound Truck force warnings still applied and were being rebroadcast at that time.)

I believed the suspect was a serious threat to the safety of myself and other officers. I continued to track the suspect, who was still watching me rather than visually seeking out a path of escape. He was moving westbound; across N Denver Ave and in front of our formation.

I applied one FN303 round in an attempt to stop the suspect's threatening, potentially-violent behavior. I continued to target the male's legs, for the stated considerations for the well-being of nearby individuals. I tracked the suspect, who was still looking at me, and deployed one round toward his thighs.

I could not tell if the subject was impacted by the round. I could see the round moving very near his churning legs. He did not react, either vocally or through his body language. He maintained his foot speed and continued turning. The suspect then chose to turn his attention to his escape route. His head turned away from me, and my concern for him as an active threat diminished.

I was unable to either arrest or render aid to the suspect after my use of force. The suspect did not stop running and disappeared into the riotous gathering ahead of him. It was unsafe to pursue him at that time, and I did not recognize him at a later time during the operational period.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	CHEMICAL AGENT	BOTH LEGS	NO, EXPLAIN	YES

CHEMICAL AGENT	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
OC	HAND TOSSED	~40 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-681145	Domka, Zachary	48347	☐ AMENDED

*** Subject #3 & 4, application #1 ***

(The PPB Sound Truck force warnings still applied and were being rebroadcast at that time.)

Our police formation was still moving the rioters northbound on N Denver Ave, approaching N Kilpatrick St, at ~2213 hours. The crowd turned westbound onto N Kilpatrick St, and some individuals pulled street barricades and other debris into the street. Based on my training and experience, I knew their intent was to impede our ability to maintain contact with the crowd and, subsequently, degrade our ability to disperse the riot.

Additionally, members of the riot had thrown glass bottles and dangerous pyrotechnic devices from the area of N Denver Ave/N Kilpatrick St. I was concerned we would not have enough time to react to any violent actor(s) who might use the blind corner at the intersection to ambush peace officers with assaultive act.

I observed two individuals physically moving barricades and resisting our commands to depart. The first was an unknown gender, white, young adult. That person was ~25-years-old, 5'8" tall, average-build, and wearing all black. I was not able to see that individual's face and would not be able to identify him/her again. The second individual appeared to be an adult white male. He was ~26-years-old, 6'0" tall, 145 lbs, had shaggy-brown hair, and was wearing a pink sundress; paired with boots. I would likely be able to identify that suspect if I saw him again.

I deployed an OC aerosol grenade directly next to them. I prepped the munition because I felt it would be the most efficient tool to be projected forward the necessary distance while also affecting multiple suspects simultaneously. I visually-cleared the area around the suspects. Seeing no uninvolved third-parties nearby, believing the suspects would continue to act against law enforcement interests unless addressed (physical resistance), and to deny the area to actively aggressive suspects, I decided to deploy the grenade; per my training. The pair was actively pulling objects into the street when I released the device, and the munition landed within three feet of the individual in the dress and boots. The munition functioned as designed, and I saw the jets of OC vapor reach the suspects.

The OC was effective and the suspects retreated. They did not react as if they were incapacitated by the agent but did retreat from the barricades as if it was unpleasant to remain there. A third individual appeared from behind the building on the SW corner, and I pointed my FN303 at them to cover the body of the spent munition; in case it was to be picked up and thrown as a weapon. However, that subject knelt down and took a photograph of the OC grenade body before turning and running westbound. The body language and verbiage of the two rioters indicated they were not experiencing any effects of the munition as they hurried to catch up with the body of the crowd; taunting us with anti-police slogans as they jogged.

I was unable to either arrest or render aid to the suspects after my use of force. They did not stop running and disappeared into the riotous gathering ahead of him. It was unsafe to pursue him at that time, and I did not recognize him at a later time during the operational period.

YES — WHEN THE RESISTANCE DECREASED, I REDUCED MY AMOUNT OF FORCE TO A LEVEL REASONABLY CALCULATED TO MAINTAIN CONTROL.

DESCRIBE WHY OTHER FORCE OPTIONS WERE REASONABLY CONSIDERED BUT NOT USED:

*** My force-option decisions have been articulated in each use-of-force description ***

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE FORCE YOU OBSERVED OTHER OFFICERS USE:

I observed officers use controls against resistance to overcome rioters' efforts to disobey the dispersal orders. I observed another RRT member deploy an OC aerosol grenade, toward the same suspects, immediately after mine.

SUBJECT INJURY AND/OR TREATMENT

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-681145	Domka, Zachary	48347	☐ AMENDED

	TYPE OF INJURY	OBSERVATION	LOCATION OF INJURY	INJURY ATTRIBUTED TO	INJURY TREATMENT
+ -	[redacted]				
+ -					
+ -					

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF COMPLAINT, APPARENT, PRE-EXISTING INJURY OR ABSENCE OF INJURY:

[redacted]

THE SUBJECT WAS MEDICALLY CLEARED FROM THE FORCE EVENT

THE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED BECAUSE OF MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES

THE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED FOR DRUGS/ALCOHOL

WITNESS

☐ I ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED.

☐ _____ ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED

☒ WITNESSES WERE LOCATED BUT THEY REFUSED TO GIVE THEIR CONTACT INFORMATION AND A DESCRIPTION OF THEM IS IN THE SECTION BELOW.

WITNESS INFORMATION: NAME OR DESCRIPTION (IF NAME REFUSED) AND MEMBER WHO LOCATED:

It was not safe to stop and ask for witness information as the protesters present were not police-friendly. No one else was visible and available for interview. Several "members of the press" were nearby and may have recorded the event on video.

MEMBER INJURY

[redacted] **WAS INJURED DURING THIS INCIDENT**

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-681145	Domka, Zachary	48347	☐ AMENDED

CEW OR LAUNCHABLE IMPACT MUNITION LOCATIONS:



SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE	DPSST		RANK	NAME
Zachary Domka	48347	EMAILED TO	SGT	Erik Koppang

**********SUPERVISORS ONLY**********

APPROVED BY:	SGT John Sapper	DPSST:	50821

PSD: ONLY PERCEIVED MENTALLY ILL	PSD: ONLY SERIOUS USE OF FORCE	PSD: BOTH A SERIOUS USE OF FORCE ON A SUBJECT WITH PERCEIVED MENTAL ILLNESS		**SERGEANT:** SUBMIT FINAL COPY TO RECORDS