Force Data Collection Report

CASE # 2020-681095 | OFFICER: Samuel Ionesi | DPSST: 57727 | ☐ AMENDED

DATE: 07/16/2020 | TIME: 5:45 AM | ASSIGNMENT: EAST

LOCATION: 350 SW Salmon St, Portland Oregon 97204

NAME (LAST, FIRST M)	GENDER	RACE	DATE OF BIRTH
Doe John	MALE	WHITE	MM/DD/YYYY

TYPE OF CALL - REASON FOR CONTACT

☐ SUBJECT STOP ☐ PERSON CRIME ☒ PROPERTY CRIME ☐ ADMINISTRATIVE ☐ INJURED ANIMAL

☐ TRAFFIC STOP ☐ OTHER ☐ MERE CONVERSATION ☐ WELFARE CHECK

EXPLAIN

Portland Parks Department had closed Lownsdale Park and all subjects remaining in the park would be trespassing.

LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR CONTACT

☐ REASONABLE SUSPICION ☐ WARRANT/JUDICIAL DECREE ☐ OTHER

☒ PROBABLE CAUSE ☐ CIVIL HOLD ☐ CIVIL UNREST

EXPLAIN

Subject refused to leave the park after multiple warnings to leave.

CONDITION PRIOR TO FORCE

☐ ARMED (ACTUALLY) [redacted] MENTAL HEALTH INFLUENCE (PERCEIVED) ☐ PASSIVE RESISTANCE*

☐ REPORTED TO BE ARMED ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF DRUGS ☒ ENGAGED IN PHYSICAL RESISTANCE

☐ ARMED (PERCEIVED) ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL ☐ OTHER ☐ NONE

EXPLAIN

The subject was told to leave the park, he wouldn't walk away and kept walking back towards Multnomah County Deputies.

PERCEIVED CONDITION FOR LACK OF COMPLIANCE PRIOR TO FORCE

[redacted] MEDICAL CONDITIONS [redacted] DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY ☒ DISOBEDIENT / ANTAGONISTIC ☐ OTHER

[redacted] MENTAL IMPAIRMENT [redacted] MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS ☐ LANGUAGE BARRIER [redacted] PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS

☐ IMPAIRED FROM DRUGS OR ALCOHOL OR BOTH

EXPLAIN

I believe the reason for lack of compliance is that he was intentionally being disobedient and antagonistic towards deputies.

MENTAL HEALTH

[redacted] NONE [redacted] PRIOR TO FORCE KNOWLEDGE [redacted] POST FORCE KNOWLEDGE [redacted] OTHER

EXPLAIN

[redacted]

SPECIALTY UNITS

YES, WHICH ONES? SPECIALTY UNITS INVOLVED?

WHICH SPECIALTY UNITS?

RRT MFF

DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES

YES DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE ATTEMPTED OR USED?

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-681095	Samuel Ionesi	57727	☐ AMENDED

EXPLAIN WHY NO DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE USED/ATTEMPTED OR DESCRIBE TECHNIQUES USED/ATTEMPTED

The sound truck gave multiple warnings and admonishments form a distance and gave subjects over 10 min to comply with the commands. There were multiple officers on scene and the subject was given time to leave.

USE OF FORCE WAS NECESSARY TO

- ☒ PREVENT OR TERMINATE THE COMMISSION OF AN OFFENSE.
- ☒ LAWFULLY TAKE A PERSON INTO CUSTODY, MAKE AN ARREST, OR PREVENT AN ESCAPE.
- ☐ PREVENT A SUICIDE OR SERIOUS SELF-INFLICTED INJURY.
- ☒ DEFEND THE MEMBER OR OTHER PERSON FROM THE USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE.
- ☒ ACCOMPLISH SOME OFFICIAL PURPOSE OR DUTY THAT IS AUTHORIZED BY LAW OR JUDICIAL DECREE.

CHRONOLOGICAL USE OF FORCE

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	RESISTED HANDCUFFING	HANDS	NO, EXPLAIN	YES

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

The sound truck gave multiple force warnings and I also advised the subject to stop resisting and comply or force would be used. Unknown MCSO deputies were trying to get a subject to leave the park. The subject grabbed onto a Deputies baton and attempted to pull it away from him. They performed a take down on him, when he got to the ground the subject pulled his hands underneath his torso. I ran over to assist. I took hold of his arms and pulled his hands out against resistance and placed them behind his back. The Deputies had flex cuffs that they used to place on his hands and the subject was secured. Deputies took the subject and left, I had to catch back up with my squad assist in clearing the rest of the park and was not able to find them after the incident to identify the subject.

YES — WHEN THE RESISTANCE DECREASED, I REDUCED MY AMOUNT OF FORCE TO A LEVEL REASONABLY CALCULATED TO MAINTAIN CONTROL.

DESCRIBE WHY OTHER FORCE OPTIONS WERE REASONABLY CONSIDERED BUT NOT USED:

No other force options were necessary due to the number of Deputies that were taking the subject into custody.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE FORCE YOU OBSERVED OTHER OFFICERS USE:

Take down, resisted handcuffing by Non-PPB members.

SUBJECT INJURY AND/OR TREATMENT

	TYPE OF INJURY	OBSERVATION	LOCATION OF INJURY	INJURY ATTRIBUTED TO	INJURY TREATMENT
+ -	[redacted]				

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF COMPLAINT, APPARENT, PRE-EXISTING INJURY OR ABSENCE OF INJURY:

[redacted]

- THE SUBJECT WAS MEDICALLY CLEARED FROM THE FORCE EVENT
- THE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED BECAUSE OF MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES
- THE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED FOR DRUGS/ALCOHOL

WITNESS

- ☒ I ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED.
- ☐ _____ ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-681095	Samuel Ionesi	57727	☐ AMENDED

☐ WITNESSES WERE LOCATED BUT THEY REFUSED TO GIVE THEIR CONTACT INFORMATION AND A DESCRIPTION OF THEM IS IN THE SECTION BELOW.

WITNESS INFORMATION: NAME OR DESCRIPTION (IF NAME REFUSED) AND MEMBER WHO LOCATED:

MEMBER INJURY

[redacted] **I WAS INJURED DURING THIS INCIDENT**

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE	DPSST		RANK	NAME
Samuel Ionesi	57727	EMAILED TO	SGT	Carroll

************SUPERVISORS ONLY************

APPROVED BY:	Carroll	DPSST:	33550

PSD: ONLY PERCEIVED MENTALLY ILL	PSD: ONLY SERIOUS USE OF FORCE	PSD: BOTH A SERIOUS USE OF FORCE ON A SUBJECT WITH PERCEIVED MENTAL ILLNESS		**SERGEANT:** SUBMIT FINAL COPY TO RECORDS