Force Data Collection Report

CASE # 2020-680938 | OFFICER: Weber | DPSST: 32070 | ☐ AMENDED

DATE: 07/03/2020 | TIME: 12:30 AM | ASSIGNMENT: CENTRAL

LOCATION: SW 6th Avenue and SW Main Street

NAME (LAST, FIRST M)	GENDER	RACE	DATE OF BIRTH
Unknown	FEMALE	WHITE	00/00/0000

TYPE OF CALL - REASON FOR CONTACT

☐ SUBJECT STOP ☐ PERSON CRIME ☐ PROPERTY CRIME ☐ ADMINISTRATIVE ☐ INJURED ANIMAL

☐ TRAFFIC STOP ☒ OTHER ☐ MERE CONVERSATION ☐ WELFARE CHECK

EXPLAIN

I was working in the official capacity a Portland Police Officer, assign to patrol and at the request of supervisors, was a member of the Mobile Field Force. I was in a Portland Police Uniform, displaying a badge, wearing a helmet with a mask and carrying a PR-24. All precinct officers responded to the demonstrators actively attacking the precinct and the Federal Courthouse in a coordinated manner. Plywood had been removed from the precinct and at the Federal Courthouse, the demonstrators were attempting to breach the windows of both buildings, and a fire has been started in the Federal Courthouse. A riot had been declared by the EOC.

LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR CONTACT

☐ REASONABLE SUSPICION ☐ WARRANT/JUDICIAL DECREE ☐ OTHER

☒ PROBABLE CAUSE ☐ CIVIL HOLD ☒ CIVIL UNREST

EXPLAIN

The demonstrators had been very hostile towards law enforcement throughout the evening, shouting obscenities, taunting officers, and attempting to physically engage with officers. There have been over thirty-six days where the precinct has been under siege by the demonstrators. The group had blocked multiple streets. The sound truck warned the crowd a riot had been declared, to stop attacking the building, stop interfering with officers, and leave the area or they would be subject to possible force and arrest.

CONDITION PRIOR TO FORCE

☐ ARMED (ACTUALLY) ☐ [redacted]MENTAL HEALTH INFLUENCE (PERCEIVED) ☐ PASSIVE RESISTANCE*

☐ REPORTED TO BE ARMED ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF DRUGS ☒ ENGAGED IN PHYSICAL RESISTANCE

☐ ARMED (PERCEIVED) ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL ☐ OTHER ☐ NONE

EXPLAIN

Not only was the crowd refusing to disperse from the area, but the crowd was actively attempting to breach the precinct. Over the past month of attacks on the precinct, the crowd has thrown glass bottles, stones, and pipes, launched mortars and fireworks, set fires to building, dumpsters, and newspaper boxes, looted businesses, and attempt to trap officers in buildings using chains and locks. The use of force was necessary to prevent injury to myself and other officers as well as protect the precinct. As we headed toward the crowd, the crowd became more aggressive.

PERCEIVED CONDITION FOR LACK OF COMPLIANCE PRIOR TO FORCE

[redacted]MEDICAL CONDITIONS [redacted]DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY ☒ DISOBEDIENT / ANTAGONISTIC ☐ OTHER

[redacted]MENTAL IMPAIRMENT [redacted]MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS ☐ LANGUAGE BARRIER [redacted]PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS

☐ IMPAIRED FROM DRUGS OR ALCOHOL OR BOTH

EXPLAIN

Members within the crowd including had received loud admonishments via the sound truck instructing them of the riot and to leave the area and disperse The sound truck warned the crowd a riot had been declared, to stop attacking the building, stop interfering with officers, and leave the area or they would be subject to possible force and arrest.

MENTAL HEALTH

[redacted]NONE [redacted]PRIOR TO FORCE KNOWLEDGE [redacted]POST FORCE KNOWLEDGE [redacted]OTHER

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-680938	Weber	32070	☐ AMENDED

EXPLAIN

[redacted]

SPECIALTY UNITS

YES, WHICH ONES? SPECIALTY UNITS INVOLVED?

WHICH SPECIALTY UNITS?

RRT was deployed to assist with the declared riot.

DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES

YES DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE ATTEMPTED OR USED?

EXPLAIN WHY NO DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE USED/ATTEMPTED OR DESCRIBE TECHNIQUES USED/ATTEMPTED

There were numerous attempts at de-escalation prior to force being used.

First, supervisors waited to see if the mob would disperse.

Second, there were numerous officers present at the location to dissuade the crowd with mere presence.

Officers were initially distanced from the demonstrators and gave them dispersal orders.

The sound truck had given multiple warnings to leave the area or be subject to force and arrest.

One officers engaged with the mob, they gave clear direction to let the crowd to leave the area.

USE OF FORCE WAS NECESSARY TO

- ☐ PREVENT OR TERMINATE THE COMMISSION OF AN OFFENSE.
- ☐ LAWFULLY TAKE A PERSON INTO CUSTODY, MAKE AN ARREST, OR PREVENT AN ESCAPE.
- ☐ PREVENT A SUICIDE OR SERIOUS SELF-INFLICTED INJURY.
- ☐ DEFEND THE MEMBER OR OTHER PERSON FROM THE USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE.
- ☒ ACCOMPLISH SOME OFFICIAL PURPOSE OR DUTY THAT IS AUTHORIZED BY LAW OR JUDICIAL DECREE.

CHRONOLOGICAL USE OF FORCE

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ / -	PHYSICAL RESIST	YES	CONTROL AGAINST RESISTANCE	TORSO	NO, EXPLAIN	YES

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

The sound truck warned the crowd a riot had been declared, to stop attacking the building, stop interfering with officers, and leave the area or they would be subject to possible force and arrest.

I pushed a subject in the positive target area of the torso with my PR-24. The subject had been instructed to leave the area before doing so, by sound truck and by officers. I pushed the subject in the area of 6th Ave and SW Main Street. The subject was a FW in her twenties with dark hair. After being pushed, the subject left the area and I stopped contact.

YES WHEN THE RESISTANCE DECREASED, I REDUCED MY AMOUNT OF FORCE TO A LEVEL REASONABLY CALCULATED TO MAINTAIN CONTROL.

DESCRIBE WHY OTHER FORCE OPTIONS WERE REASONABLY CONSIDERED BUT NOT USED:

As a line officer on a mobile field force, I am limited with the tools I can use, which include the PR-24 and pepper spray. The pushing a

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-680938	Weber	32070	☐ AMENDED

subject with the PR-24 was the lowest level of force reasonable in this incident.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE FORCE YOU OBSERVED OTHER OFFICERS USE:

I saw no other officer use force.

SUBJECT INJURY AND/OR TREATMENT

	TYPE OF INJURY	OBSERVATION	LOCATION OF INJURY	INJURY ATTRIBUTED TO	INJURY TREATMENT
+ -	[redacted]				

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF COMPLAINT, APPARENT, PRE-EXISTING INJURY OR ABSENCE OF INJURY:

[redacted]

[redacted]HE SUBJECT WAS MEDICALLY CLEARED FROM THE FORCE EVENT

HE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED BECAUSE OF MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES

HE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED FOR DRUGS/ALCOHOL

WITNESS

☒ I ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED.

☐ _____ ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED

☐ WITNESSES WERE LOCATED BUT THEY REFUSED TO GIVE THEIR CONTACT INFORMATION AND A DESCRIPTION OF THEM IS IN THE SECTION BELOW.

WITNESS INFORMATION: NAME OR DESCRIPTION (IF NAME REFUSED) AND MEMBER WHO LOCATED:

Due to the chaotic events and dispersement of people, no other witnesses were located.

MEMBER INJURY

[redacted]**I WAS INJURED DURING THIS INCIDENT**

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE	DPSST		RANK	NAME
Eric Weber	32070	EMAILED TO	SGT	Jason Jones

************SUPERVISORS ONLY************

APPROVED BY:	Jason Jones	DPSST:	37668

PSD: ONLY PERCEIVED MENTALLY ILL	PSD: ONLY SERIOUS USE OF FORCE	PSD: BOTH A SERIOUS USE OF FORCE ON A SUBJECT WITH PERCEIVED MENTAL ILLNESS		**SERGEANT:** SUBMIT FINAL COPY TO RECORDS