Force Data Collection Report

CASE # 2020-680613 | OFFICER Lloyd | DPSST 52678 | ☐ AMENDED

DATE 06 / 07 / 2020 | TIME: 12:10 AM | ASSIGNMENT: EAST

LOCATION: SW 4th / SW Harvey Milk

NAME (LAST, FIRST M)	GENDER	RACE	DATE OF BIRTH
Doe, John	MALE	WHITE	01 / 01 / 2000

TYPE OF CALL - REASON FOR CONTACT

☐ SUBJECT STOP ☒ PERSON CRIME ☒ PROPERTY CRIME ☐ ADMINISTRATIVE ☐ INJURED ANIMAL

☐ TRAFFIC STOP ☒ OTHER ☐ MERE CONVERSATION ☐ WELFARE CHECK DOMESTIC RELATED? NO

EXPLAIN

I was working a mobile field force assisting RRT on a protest. The Mayor enacted a state of emergency and the protest was deemed a civil disturbance with riot like behavior.

LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR CONTACT

☐ REASONABLE SUSPICION ☐ WARRANT/JUDICIAL DECREE ☐ OTHER

☒ PROBABLE CAUSE ☐ CIVIL HOLD ☒ CIVIL UNREST

EXPLAIN

I was assigned to MFF as a Less lethal operator. I was assisting RRT in disbursing and arresting crowds that had been involved in criminal behavior such as throwing glass bottle, rocks and other hard objects. The group had also almost pushed over a security fence near the Justice center. I had PC for Disorderly Conduct and Riot.

CONDITION PRIOR TO FORCE

☒ ARMED (ACTUALLY) [redacted] MENTAL HEALTH INFLUENCE (PERCEIVED) ☐ PASSIVE RESISTANCE*

☐ REPORTED TO BE ARMED ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF DRUGS ☒ ENGAGED IN PHYSICAL RESISTANCE

☐ ARMED (PERCEIVED) ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL ☒ OTHER ☐ NONE

EXPLAIN

The crowd had been lighting fires, throwing rocks, glass bottles, and other hard objects towards officers for hours. The crowd was hostile towards police and had refused to disperse after the protest had been deemed a civil disturbance.

PERCEIVED CONDITION FOR LACK OF COMPLIANCE PRIOR TO FORCE

[redacted]MEDICAL CONDITIONS [redacted]DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY ☒ DISOBEDIENT / ANTAGONISTIC ☒ OTHER

[redacted]MENTAL IMPAIRMENT [redacted]MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS ☐ LANGUAGE BARRIER [redacted]PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS

☐ IMPAIRED FROM DRUGS OR ALCOHOL OR BOTH

EXPLAIN

The subject had engaged in civil disobedience by throwing a water bottle at a Portland Police Officer and failed to heed any warnings to disperse.

MENTAL HEALTH

[redacted]NONE [redacted]PRIOR TO FORCE KNOWLEDGE [redacted]POST FORCE KNOWLEDGE [redacted]OTHER

EXPLAIN

[redacted]

SPECIALTY UNITS

YES, WHICH ONES? SPECIALTY UNITS INVOLVED?

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-680613	Lloyd	52678	☐ AMENDED

WHICH SPECIALTY UNITS?

RRT, Air 1, SERT, K9, ECIT, CNT.

DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES

YES — DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE ATTEMPTED OR USED?

EXPLAIN WHY NO DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE USED/ATTEMPTED OR DESCRIBE TECHNIQUES USED/ATTEMPTED

Large number of officers were present. Plenty of time to allow subject to disperse with clear instructions. Subject had several areas of egress and ability to leave the area. The sound truck was continually giving instructions to disperse the area or riot control agents could be used against them.

USE OF FORCE WAS NECESSARY TO

- ☒ PREVENT OR TERMINATE THE COMMISSION OF AN OFFENSE.
- ☒ LAWFULLY TAKE A PERSON INTO CUSTODY, MAKE AN ARREST, OR PREVENT AN ESCAPE.
- ☐ PREVENT A SUICIDE OR SERIOUS SELF-INFLICTED INJURY.
- ☒ DEFEND THE MEMBER OR OTHER PERSON FROM THE USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE.
- ☒ ACCOMPLISH SOME OFFICIAL PURPOSE OR DUTY THAT IS AUTHORIZED BY LAW OR JUDICIAL DECREE.

CHRONOLOGICAL USE OF FORCE

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ / -	ACTIVE AGGRESS	YES	LAUNCHABLE IMPACT	BOTH LEGS	NO, EXPLAIN	YES

IMPACT ROUNDS	DEPLOYMENT	DISTANCE
40 MM SPONGE (PATROL)	LAUNCHABLE	25 feet

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

Force Data Collection Report

CASE # 2020-680613 | OFFICER Lloyd | DPSST 52678 | ☐ AMENDED

The sound-truck had provided numerous force warnings to the crowd and dispersal warnings while I was inside and outside of the fence .

While assisting on Mobile Field Force I was assigned as Less lethal (40mm) cover to assist RRT on a civil disturbance. At around 0010 we were driving around the area of SW 4th / SW Harvey Milk Ave. We had been directed to assist RRT to disperse the crowds and place subjects in custody who refused to leave and continue in civil disorder within the downtown area. I was in the third vehicle back when we suddenly stopped to address a hostile group in the middle of SW Harvey Milk in between SW 4th and SW 5th. I was assigned as over-watch to cover officers as they addressed hostile groups and place them into custody. As several Officers jumped out of their vehicles a group of 20-30 people tried to run away. The two groups of officers in front of me had already dismounted and turned the corner west onto SW Harvey Milk. As I rounded the corner of SW 4th and SW Harvey Milk. I saw Officer Li was on the ground to my left and seemed to be holding a suspect. At this same time I saw Officer Clark fighting with a subject trying to either stop or fight Officer Li. Within seconds I saw a yelling aggressive subject standing 5-10 feet away from Officer Clark's right side. I saw the subject was 18-23 year old white male. I saw the subject was wearing light colored shirt and jeans. I was immediately concerned for Officer Li and Clark's safety as the crowd was facing them, yelling, and had formed a semi circle around us in the middle of the street.

As I moved closer to Officer Clark I saw the same suspect throw a full plastic water bottle directly at the back of Officer Clark from 10 feet away. I saw the bottle missed Officer Clark but was very close to hitting him. I know from training and experience hard objects such as full water bottles could cause significant injury if they hit someone especially in the back of the head or neck. I also saw Officer Clark and Officer Li were engaged in a direct confrontation with suspects and were unable to watch their back or defend themselves. As the crowd was still yelling and extremely hostile I determined the Officers were in danger of physical injury from the actively aggressive crowd and especially the subject who threw the water bottle.

I aimed at the suspects beltline as he stood in the middle of the road approximately 25 feet away. I deployed a single 40mm sponge round to his thigh arear. As the suspect was moving I was unsure if the sponge round hit his left or right thigh area. As I was reloading my launcher I saw the suspect changed his behavior and ran in the opposite direction away from Officers and down the street. We were unable to catch the suspect and place him into custody.

YES — WHEN THE RESISTANCE DECREASED, I REDUCED MY AMOUNT OF FORCE TO A LEVEL REASONABLY CALCULATED TO MAINTAIN CONTROL.

DESCRIBE WHY OTHER FORCE OPTIONS WERE REASONABLY CONSIDERED BUT NOT USED:

As I was assigned as a Less Lethal cover for our MFF unit it was not feasible to transition to any other force. I was also too far to use any other lower level of force such as pepper spray.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE FORCE YOU OBSERVED OTHER OFFICERS USE:

I observed Officer Clark conduct a takedown to protect Officer Li, I also saw Officer Li using control against resistance to place a subject into custody.

SUBJECT INJURY AND/OR TREATMENT

	TYPE OF INJURY	OBSERVATION	LOCATION OF INJURY	INJURY ATTRIBUTED TO	INJURY TREATMENT
+ / -	[redacted]				

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF COMPLAINT, APPARENT, PRE-EXISTING INJURY OR ABSENCE OF INJURY:

[redacted]

THE SUBJECT WAS MEDICALLY CLEARED FROM THE FORCE EVENT

THE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED BECAUSE OF MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES

THE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED FOR DRUGS/ALCOHOL

WITNESS

☐ I ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED.

☐ _____ ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-680613	Lloyd	52678	☐ AMENDED

☐ WITNESSES WERE LOCATED BUT THEY REFUSED TO GIVE THEIR CONTACT INFORMATION AND A DESCRIPTION OF THEM IS IN THE SECTION BELOW.

WITNESS INFORMATION: NAME OR DESCRIPTION (IF NAME REFUSED) AND MEMBER WHO LOCATED:

The incident was recorded by multiple people. As the crowd was hostile and throwing projectiles obtaining witness information was not feasible.

MEMBER INJURY

[redacted] **WAS INJURED DURING THIS INCIDENT**

CEW OR LAUNCHABLE IMPACT MUNITION LOCATIONS:



SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE	DPSST		RANK	NAME
Bryan Lloyd	52678	EMAILED TO	SGT	Nutting

**********SUPERVISORS ONLY**********

APPROVED BY:	Sgt Christina Tuma	DPSST:	47651

PSD: ONLY PERCEIVED MENTALLY ILL	PSD: ONLY SERIOUS USE OF FORCE	PSD: BOTH A SERIOUS USE OF FORCE ON A SUBJECT WITH PERCEIVED MENTAL ILLNESS		**SERGEANT:** SUBMIT FINAL COPY TO RECORDS