Force Data Collection Report

CASE # 2020-203130 | OFFICER: OFC. E. JOHNSON | DPSST: 40420 | ☐ AMENDED

DATE: 06/26/2020 | TIME: 1:45 AM | ASSIGNMENT: NORTH

LOCATION: NE Emerson St / NE Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd

NAME (LAST, FIRST M)	GENDER	RACE	DATE OF BIRTH
Comfort, Charles Randolph	MALE	WHITE	07/26/1996

TYPE OF CALL - REASON FOR CONTACT

☐ SUBJECT STOP ☒ PERSON CRIME ☒ PROPERTY CRIME ☐ ADMINISTRATIVE ☐ INJURED ANIMAL

☐ TRAFFIC STOP ☒ OTHER ☐ MERE CONVERSATION ☐ WELFARE CHECK DOMESTIC RELATED? NO

EXPLAIN

A protest at North Precinct devolved into an unlawful protest when rioters started to set the precinct on fire. The suspect was wearing a helmet and carrying a shield. He advanced on the mobile field force as other protesters fled the southwest area near the precinct. Twice the suspect charged and used his shield to ram an unknown grenadier that was to the right of me on the mobile field force line.

LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR CONTACT

☐ REASONABLE SUSPICION ☐ WARRANT/JUDICIAL DECREE ☐ OTHER

☒ PROBABLE CAUSE ☐ CIVIL HOLD ☒ CIVIL UNREST

EXPLAIN

Their were over 100 protesters in the area. My goal was stop the suspect from harming or accessing the weapons of the officer next to me. The sounded truck declared there was an unlawful assembly. The suspect stopped an officer from moving forward with the squad by ramming the officer with the shield he was holding. The suspect's tumultuous behavior showed every intent that he was looking to fight with police. The suspect violated ORS164.247 Interfering with a police officer, ORS. 166.015, ORS. 166.15 riot, and ORS 166.025 disorderly conduct II.

CONDITION PRIOR TO FORCE

☒ ARMED (ACTUALLY) [redacted]MENTAL HEALTH INFLUENCE (PERCEIVED) ☐ PASSIVE RESISTANCE*

☐ REPORTED TO BE ARMED ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF DRUGS ☒ ENGAGED IN PHYSICAL RESISTANCE

☒ ARMED (PERCEIVED) ☐ UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL ☐ OTHER ☐ NONE

EXPLAIN

The suspect was wearing a helmet and was armed with a shield which he used to ram a police officer. The suspect was with at least two others that were wearing helmets and holdings shields to create their own mobile field force line. COMFORT worked in conjunction with other nearby suspects to interfere with the officers. COMFORT and the others braced together and squatted low for greater stability and center of gravity. COMFORT then charged and rammed the grenadier to the right of me twice using his shield. The suspect was well prepared to engage with officers. The suspect was wearing a large weighted down backpack late at night and while school was not in session. I believe the suspect's back pack contained additional weapons that could be utilized against officers in the protest.

PERCEIVED CONDITION FOR LACK OF COMPLIANCE PRIOR TO FORCE

[redacted]MEDICAL CONDITIONS [redacted]DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY ☒ DISOBEDIENT / ANTAGONISTIC ☐ OTHER

[redacted]MENTAL IMPAIRMENT [redacted]MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS ☐ LANGUAGE BARRIER [redacted]PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS

☐ IMPAIRED FROM DRUGS OR ALCOHOL OR BOTH

EXPLAIN

COMFORT refused to listen to commands to disperse given by officers on the mobile field force line and the sound truck. COMFORT had every opportunity and space to leave the area like the majority of the other protesters. Instead, COMFORT made a conscious choice to advance against the police officers. COMFORT told me that the protesters outnumbered the police force and their ultimate goal was to wear the police down.

MENTAL HEALTH

[redacted]NONE [redacted]PRIOR TO FORCE KNOWLEDGE [redacted]POST FORCE KNOWLEDGE [redacted]THER

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	AMENDED
2020-203130	OFC. E. JOHNSON	40420	☐

EXPLAIN

[redacted]

SPECIALTY UNITS

YES, WHICH ONES? SPECIALTY UNITS INVOLVED?

WHICH SPECIALTY UNITS?

RRT, SERT, Sound truck

DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES

YES DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE ATTEMPTED OR USED?

EXPLAIN WHY NO DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES WERE USED/ATTEMPTED OR DESCRIBE TECHNIQUES USED/ATTEMPTED

Suspect was given several verbal warnings to leave the area. The sound truck clearly told the crowd that police did not want to use force against them. The crowd was warned that force could be use against them if they did not comply and that they could be subject to arrest. The mobile field force cleared NE Emerson Street first and halted a minute or so before moving forward. The crowd disperse but the suspect came running up to challenge the mobile field force. I told the suspect he was under arrest and to stop resisting when he tried to escape me taking him into custody.

USE OF FORCE WAS NECESSARY TO

- ☒ PREVENT OR TERMINATE THE COMMISSION OF AN OFFENSE.
- ☒ LAWFULLY TAKE A PERSON INTO CUSTODY, MAKE AN ARREST, OR PREVENT AN ESCAPE.
- ☐ PREVENT A SUICIDE OR SERIOUS SELF-INFLICTED INJURY.
- ☒ DEFEND THE MEMBER OR OTHER PERSON FROM THE USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE.
- ☒ ACCOMPLISH SOME OFFICIAL PURPOSE OR DUTY THAT IS AUTHORIZED BY LAW OR JUDICIAL DECREE.

CHRONOLOGICAL USE OF FORCE

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	PHYSICAL RESIST	NO, EXPLAIN	CONTROL AGAINST RESISTANCE	BACK	YES	YES

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

I grabbed the suspect's backpack and he pulled me by running away. COMFORT continued trying to get away. I lost grip of the suspect when he tripped on the shrubbery on the island in the middle of NE Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.

	RESISTANCE	WARNING	FORCE	TARGET LOCATION	RESISTANCE CONTINUED	RE-EVALUATE
+ -	PHYSICAL RESIST	YES	CONTROL AGAINST RESISTANCE	BACK	NO, EXPLAIN	YES

DESCRIBE THIS USE OF FORCE:

I grabbed the suspect's backpack again as he quickly got back up again and he changed directions. I maintained a solid grip on the suspect's backpack as he tried to pull away. The suspect continued trying to get away, but eventually went to the ground. The suspect stopped resisting and he was able to be handcuffed.

YES WHEN THE RESISTANCE DECREASED, I REDUCED MY AMOUNT OF FORCE TO A LEVEL REASONABLY CALCULATED TO MAINTAIN CONTROL.

DESCRIBE WHY OTHER FORCE OPTIONS WERE REASONABLY CONSIDERED BUT NOT USED:

The use of pepper spray was not authorized at the time I contacted the suspect although it was allowed later due to the severity of the protest. I did not use my taser because the suspect was trying to flee away from me. The incident did not rise to the occasion of deadly

Force Data Collection Report

CASE #	OFFICER	DPSST	
2020-203130	OFC. E. JOHNSON	40420	☐ AMENDED

force situation.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE FORCE YOU OBSERVED OTHER OFFICERS USE:

I observed Ofc. Le make contact with the upper torso area of the suspect prior to handcuffing him on the ground. Due to COMFORT's violent struggle, I did not know if the suspect fell trying to get away or if physical force was applied to get him on the ground.

SUBJECT INJURY AND/OR TREATMENT

	TYPE OF INJURY	OBSERVATION	LOCATION OF INJURY	INJURY ATTRIBUTED TO	INJURY TREATMENT
+ -	[redacted]				

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF COMPLAINT, APPARENT, PRE-EXISTING INJURY OR ABSENCE OF INJURY:

[redacted]

[redacted]

THE SUBJECT WAS MEDICALLY CLEARED FROM THE FORCE EVENT

THE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED BECAUSE OF MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES

THE SUBJECT WAS TRANSPORTED AND/OR ADMITTED FOR DRUGS/ALCOHOL

WITNESS

☒ I ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED.

☐ _____ ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE WITNESSES AND NONE WERE LOCATED

☐ WITNESSES WERE LOCATED BUT THEY REFUSED TO GIVE THEIR CONTACT INFORMATION AND A DESCRIPTION OF THEM IS IN THE SECTION BELOW.

WITNESS INFORMATION: NAME OR DESCRIPTION (IF NAME REFUSED) AND MEMBER WHO LOCATED:

There were no witnesses that stuck around. The crowd dispersed and ran away and could not be contacted.

MEMBER INJURY

[redacted] **I WAS INJURED DURING THIS INCIDENT**

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE	DPSST		RANK	NAME
Ofc. E. Johnson	40420	EMAILED TO	SGT	Billard

**********SUPERVISORS ONLY**********

APPROVED BY:	John Billard	DPSST:	44160

PSD: ONLY PERCEIVED MENTALLY ILL	PSD: ONLY SERIOUS USE OF FORCE	PSD: BOTH A SERIOUS USE OF FORCE ON A SUBJECT WITH PERCEIVED MENTAL ILLNESS		**SERGEANT:** SUBMIT FINAL COPY TO RECORDS